

**क्रमांक 44-ब(I)-80/5845.**—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री गंगा राम, पुत्र श्री नारायण दास, गांव हमीदपुर, तहसील नारायणगढ़, ज़िला अमौला, को रवी, 1973 से ज़रीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रवी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

विनांक 13 फरवरी, 1980

**क्रमांक 58-ब(I)-80/6004.**—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री सरूप सिंह, पुत्र श्री छंत्र राम, गांव मायड, तहसील व ज़िला हिसार, को रवी, 1977 से ज़रीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रवी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

**क्रमांक 43-ब(I)-80/6008.**—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री खेल सिंह, पुत्र श्री मधर सिंह, गांव बोह, तहसील व ज़िला अमौला, को रवी, 1974 से ज़रीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रवी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

**क्रमांक 51-ब(I)-80/6012.**—पूर्वी पंजाब युद्ध पुरस्कार अधिनियम, 1948 (जैसा कि उसे हरियाणा राज्य में अपनाया गया है और उसमें आज तक संशोधन किया गया है) की धारा 2(ए) (1ए) तथा 3(1ए) के अनुसार सौंपे गए अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्यपाल श्री गोकल राम, पुत्र श्री राम जी लाल, निवासी भिवानी, तहसील व ज़िला अमौला, को रवी, 1973 से ज़रीफ, 1979 तक 150 रुपये वार्षिक तथा रवी, 1980 से 300 रुपये वार्षिक कीमत वाली युद्ध जागीर सनद में दी गई शर्तों के अनुसार सहर्ष प्रदान करते हैं।

रघुनाथ जोशी,

विशेष कार्य अधिकारी, हरियाणा सरकार,  
राजस्व विभाग।

#### LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 23rd January, 1980

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/959.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Rapid Cast Foundry, 12, NIT, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI NATHU RAM SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 217 of 1979

between

SHRI SAT NARAIN, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S RAPID CAST FOUNDRY,  
12, NIT, FARIDABAD

Present:

Shri R. L. Sharma, for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

#### AWARD

1. By order No. ID/FD/110-79/34959, dated 9th August, 1979, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Rapid Cast Foundry, 12, NIT, Faridabad

and its workman Shri Sat Narain to this tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of shri Sat Narain was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings. On the pleadings of the parties, following issues were framed on 10th December, 1979 :—

1. Whether the workman has resigned of his own?

2. If Issue No. 1 is not proved, whether termination of services of the workman is justified and in order?

And the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. At this stage a settlement was arrived at between the parties. According to which the workman received his wages and leave wages and gave resignation. The management filed Ex. M-1 the resignation of the workman. Ex. M-2 the salary abstract and Ex. M-3 the voucher. As per the settlement I give my award that there is no dispute between the parties and the dispute stands settled by the parties between them.

NATHU RAM SHA RMA,

The 9th January, 1980

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 46, dated the 15th January, 1980.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 9th January, 1980.

NATHU RAM SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal Haryana,  
Faridabad.

The 24th January, 1980

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/1049.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Raj Woollen Industries G. T. Road, Panipat.

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 91 of 1979

between

SHRI DHANPAT SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S.  
RAJ WOOLLEN INDUSTRIES, G. T. ROAD, PANIPAT.

Present.—

Shri Karan Singh, for the workman.

Shri Surinder Kaushal, for the respondent management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/KNL//19-79/12070, dated 20th April, 1979, the Governor of Haryana the following dispute between the management of M/s Raj Woollen Industries, G. T., Panipat and its workman Shri Dhanpat Singh, was referred for adjudication, to this Court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Dhanpat Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of order of reference, notices as usual were sent to the parties. The parties appeared in response to the notices on 25th June, 1979. The workman did not file the claim statement. The management filed photostat copies of two letters written by the workman dated 25th May, 1979 and 26th May, 1979 addressed to the manager of the respondent concerned where by the workman has settled his claim with the management and shall receive his account as settled. The workman's representative was directed to produce the workman on the next date to confirm the execution of the letters and the case was adjourned till 23rd July, 1979. On this date of hearing the workman's representative Shri Karan Singh stated that the workman has settled his dispute with the management and has executed a deed of settlement which are Ex. W-1 and W-2 and further stated that on receipt of settled sum the workman shall forego all his claim of reinstatement and backwages. In view of the above circumstances no further proceedings are called for and I make the award that the workman shall receive the agreed amount in full and final settlement of his claim and shall foregoes his claim for reinstatement and for backwages. No order as to cost.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Dated 31st December, 1979.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 116, dated 21st January, 1980

Forwarded (four copies to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour & Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

No. 11(112)-3Lab-79/1051.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s R. S. Electronic, Bahadurgarh (Rohtak) :—

BEFORE SHRI BANWARI LAL DALAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT  
ROHTAK,

Reference No. 119 of 1979

between

SHRI RANBIR SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S R. S. ELECTRONICS,  
BAHADURGARH (ROHTAK)

Present.—

No one, for the workman.

Shri Harish Chander, for the management.

#### AWARD

By order No. ID/RTK/68-79/24493, dated 7th June, 1979, of the Governor of Haryana the following dispute between the management of M/s. R. S. Electronics, Bahadurgarh and its workman Shri Ranbir Singh was referred for adjudication to this Court in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Ranbir Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ? "

On receipt of order of reference usual notices were issued to the parties for 18th August, 1979. The parties appeared through their authorised representatives. The workman filed the claim statement and adjournment was allowed for filing the written statement by the management and the case was fixed for 13th September, 1979 the court was not present and the case was fixed for proper orders and was to come up on 12th October, 1979. On this hearing the workman's representative Shri Chander Singh filed a settlement in writing and the workman was not present. My learned predecessor directed the workman's representative to produce the workman on the next date for verification of the settlement and the case was to come up on 16th November,

1979. On this date of hearing neither the workman was present nor his representative and the statement of Shri Harish Chander, the authorised representative of management was recorded as under :—

**Statement of Shri Harish Chander, representative of the management :—**

“The workman has settled his dispute under this reference with the management. Written settlement is on the file. The workman signed the settlement, before me and I recognize his signature. Now no demand remains to be fulfilled up by the management.”

In view of the above circumstances the workman and his authorised representative did not consider it necessary to appear before my learned predecessor and were content with the terms of settlement which the workman himself filed on the previous date and the statement of the authorised representative of the management sufficiently prove the execution of the said settlement. I, therefore, make the award accordingly that the workman has received Rs. 200 in full and final settlement of his entire claim against the management and he foregoes his all other rights including the right of reinstatement. No order as to cost.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Dated 31st December, 1979.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak,

Endst. No. 117, dated 21st January, 1980.

Forwarded, (four, copies) to the Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour & Employment Department, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Dispute Act.

BANWARI LAL DALAL,

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Rohtak.

H. L. GUGNANI, Secy.

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**

(IRRIGATION BRANCH)

The 11th February, 1980

No. 21/11/79-PWII(4).—The following draft rules which the Governor of Haryana proposes to make in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 65 of the Haryana Canal and Drainage Act, 1974 and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, are published for the information of all persons likely to be affected thereby.

Notice is hereby given that the draft rules will be taken into consideration by the Government on or after the expiry of fifteen days from the date of publication of this notification, together with objections or suggestions, if any, which may be received by the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Public Works Department (Irrigation), Chandigarh from any persons with respect to the draft rules before the expiry of the period so specified :—

**DRAFT RULES**

1. These rules may be called the Haryana Canal and Drainage (Amendment) Rules, 1980.
2. In the Haryana Canal and Drainage Rules, 1976, in the schedule of Water Rates, in Part I, under the column ‘Rate per Acre, for the words “and Jhajjar”, the words and brackets “Jhajjar and J.L.N. (Non-perennial)” shall be substituted.

H. V. GOSWAMI,  
Commissioner and Secy.

**BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH**

Ambala Circle

The 20th November, 1979

No. S.E./P.W.D./B. & R./Ambala/1165.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be needed by the Government, at public expenses for a public purpose, from Kathwala to Chhajju Nagra, tehsil Jagadhri and in Ambala District, it is hereby notified that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose,

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor of Haryana is pleased to authorise the officers, for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested in the above land, who has any objection to the acquisition thereof, may within thirty days after the date on which public notice of the substance of this notification is given in the locality, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department, Buildings and roads Branch, Ambala Cantt.

#### SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Locality/Village	Hadbast No.	Area in Acres	Rectangle/Killa No.
Ambala	Jagadhri	Kathwala	373	3.01	5 24, 25
					11 12, 13, 18, 26, 10/1, 23, 24
					12 1, 6/1, 6/2, 6/3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13/1, 13/2
					13 4, 5/1, 5/2
					18 3, 4, 7, 8, 12, 19, 22
					27, 66, 70/1, 70/2, 73/2, 74, 75, 76, 80, 84, 85, 86, 87, 95, 96, 99/1, 100, 102, 103, 152, 153, 154, 238, 101, 99/2, 77, 239, 97
Ambala	Jagadhri	Chaju Nagla	374	2.41	17 18/2, 18/3, 23, 24/1, 24/2
					22 4/1, 4/2, 6/1, 6/2, 7, 15/1, 15/2, 16
					23 11, 20/1, 20/2, 21, 22
					27 1, 2/1, 2/2, 8, 9, 12, 13/1, 13/2, 14, 17/1, 17/2, 18/1, 18/2, 18/5, 24/1, 24/2
					43, 47, 47
			Total	5.42 Acres	

(Sd.)  
Superintending Engineer,  
Ambala Circle, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch,  
Ambala Cantt.